arXiv:1611.04580v1 [cs.FL] 14 Nov 2016

Some conjectures on codes *

Clelia De Felice,
Università degli Studi di Salerno,
defelice@dia.unisa.it

November 15, 2016

Abstract

Variable-length codes are the *bases* of the free submonoids of a free monoid. There are some important longstanding open questions about the structure of finite *maximal codes*. In this paper we discuss this conjectures and their relations with factorizations of cyclic groups.

1 Introduction

The theory of *variable-length codes* takes its origin in the framework of the theory of information, since Shannon's early works in the 1950's. An algebraic theory of codes was subsequently initiated by Schützenberger, who proposed in [39] the semigroup theory as a mathematical setting for the study of these objects. In this context the theory of codes has been extensively developed, showing strong relations with automata theory, combinatorics on words, formal languages and the theory of semigroups (see [6] for a complete treatment of this topic and [1–5] for recent results on strong connections between codes, combinatorics on words and free groups). In this paper we follow this algebraic approach and codes are defined as the *bases* of the free submonoids of a free monoid.

We are interested in some important longstanding open questions about the structure of finite *maximal codes* (maximal objects in the class of codes for the order of set inclusion). One of these conjectures asks whether any finite maximal code C is *positively factorizing* [40], that is if there always exist finite subsets P, S of A^* such that

$$\underline{C} - 1 \quad = \quad \underline{P}(\underline{A} - 1)\underline{S} \tag{1.1}$$

(here 1 is the empty word and $\underline{X}$ denotes the *characteristic polynomial* of a finite language X, i.e., the formal sum of its elements).

The above conjecture was formulated by Schützenberger but, as far as we know, it does not appear explicitly in any of his papers. It was quoted as the *factorization conjecture* in [30] for the first time and then also reported in [6, 7]. The major contribution to this conjecture is due to Reutenauer [36, 37]. In particular, he proved that for any finite maximal code C over A, there exist polynomials $P, S \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$ such that $\underline{C} - 1 = P(\underline{A} - 1)S$. Other partial results concerning this conjecture may be found in [8–10, 13, 20, 22, 34, 44].

The conjecture is still open and weaker forms of it have been proposed and reported below.

*Partially supported by the *FARB* Projects *"Aspetti matematici e applicativi nella teoria dei codici e linguaggi formali"* (University of Salerno, 2015) and *"Linguaggi formali e codici: metodi combinatori e orientamenti applicativi"* (University of Salerno, 2016).

Two words x, y are *commutatively equivalent* if the symbols of y can be reordered to make x. Two sets X, Y are commutatively equivalent if there is a bijection ϕ from X onto Y such that for every $x \in X$, x and $\phi(x)$ are commutative equivalent. A well known class of codes is that of *prefix* codes, i.e., codes such that none of their words is a left factor of another. A code $X \subseteq A^*$ is *commutatively prefix* if there exists a prefix code $Y \subseteq A^*$ which is commutatively equivalent to X.

It is conjectured that every finite maximal code is commutatively prefix. This is the *commutative equivalence conjecture*, due to Perrin and Schützenberger and inspired by a problem of information theory [31]. Any positively factorizing code is commutatively prefix. Partial results on the commutative equivalence conjecture have been proved in [29, 31] and a formulation of it, when restricted to a two-letter alphabet, in terms of continued fractions of a finite length has been given in [23].

A third conjecture takes into account *bayonet* codes, i.e., codes such that each of its words has the form a^iba^j, $a, b \in A$. It is conjectured that for any finite bayonet code X which can be embedded in a finite maximal code, one has $\mathrm{Card}(X) \leq \max\{|x| \mid x \in X\}$. This is the *triangle conjecture*, due to Perrin and Schützemberger [32]. If X is a finite maximal code and X is commutatively prefix, then $X \cap a^*ba^*$ verifies the triangle conjecture, for any $a, b \in A$. Partial results on the triangle conjecture have been proved in [11, 25, 33].

Originally the three conjectures were proposed for codes with no additional hypothesis. In 1985 Shor found a bayonet code X such that $\mathrm{Card}(X) > \max\{|x| \mid x \in X\}$ [41]. Thus the conjectures were restricted as above to the smaller class of finite maximal codes and its subsets.

Notice that there are finite codes which are not contained in any finite maximal code [34]. The *inclusion problem*, for a finite code X, is the existence of a finite maximal code containing X. The *inclusion conjecture* claims that the inclusion problem is decidable.

In this paper we focus on some relations between *factorizations of cyclic groups*, positively factorizing codes and finite maximal codes. We recall that a pair (T, R) of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ is a factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ if for any $z \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, there exists a unique pair (t, r), with $t \in T$ and $r \in R$, such that $t + r = z \pmod n$ [43].

Known results linking factorizations and positively factorizing codes are reported in Section 3. Recent results concerning relations between factorizations of cyclic groups and finite maximal codes, proved in [45], will be described in Section 4 (see [6, 21, 28, 35, 42] for former results on these relations). Finally, we discuss connections between the former and the latter results in Section 5 and some issues that follow in Section 6.

2 Basics

2.1 Codes and words

Let A^* be the *free monoid* generated by a finite alphabet A and let $A^+ = A^* \setminus 1$ where 1 is the empty word. For a word $w \in A^*$ and a letter $a \in A$, we denote by $|w|$ the *length* of w and by $|w|_a$ the number of the occurrences of a in w.

A *code* C is a subset of A^* such that, for all $h, k \geq 0$ and $c_1, \ldots, c_h, c'_1, \ldots, c'_k \in C$, we have

$$c_1 \cdots c_h = c'_1 \cdots c'_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad h = k \quad \text{and} \quad c_i = c'_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, h.$$

A set $C \subseteq A^+$, such that $C \cap CA^+ = \emptyset$, is a *prefix* code. C is a *suffix* code if $C \cap A^+C = \emptyset$ and C is a *bifix* code when C is both a suffix and a prefix code. A code C is a *maximal* code over A if for each code C' over A such that $C \subseteq C'$ we have $C = C'$. If C is a finite maximal code, for each letter $a \in A$, there is an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^n \in C$, called the *order* of a relative to C.

2.2 Polynomials

Let $\mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$ (resp. $\mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle$) denote the semiring of the *polynomials* with noncommutative variables in A and integer (resp. nonnegative integer) coefficients. For a finite subset X of A^*, $\underline{X}$ denotes its *characteristic polynomial*, defined by $\underline{X} = \sum_{x\in X} x$. Therefore, "characteristic polynomial" will be synonymous with "polynomial with coefficients $0, 1$". For a polynomial P and a word $w \in A^*$, (P, w) denotes the coefficient of w in P and we set $\mathrm{supp}(P) = \{w \in A^* \mid (P, w) \neq 0\}$. If $\mathrm{supp}(P) = \emptyset$, then $P = 0$ is the null polynomial. When we write $P \geq Q$, with $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$, we mean that $(P, w) \geq (Q, w)$, for any $w \in A^*$. In particular, $P \geq 0$ means that $P \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle$. For $P \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$, $b \in A$ and $g \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by P_g polynomials such that

$$\forall w \in A^* \quad (P_g, w) = \begin{cases} (P, w) & \text{if } |w|_b = g, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We write, as usual, $\mathbb{Z}[a]$ and $\mathbb{N}[a]$ instead of $\mathbb{Z}\langle a\rangle$ and $\mathbb{N}\langle a\rangle$. The map which associates the polynomial $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(H, n)a^n \in \mathbb{N}[a]$ to a finite multiset H of nonnegative integers, is a bijection between the set of the finite multisets H of nonnegative integers and $\mathbb{N}[a]$. We represent this bijection by the notation $a^H = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(H, n)a^n$. For example, $a^{\{0,0,1,1,1,3,4\}} = 2 + 3a + a^3 + a^4$. Consequently, the following computation rules are defined: $a^{M+L} = a^M a^L$, $a^{M\cup L} = a^M + a^L$, $a^\emptyset = 0$, $a^0 = 1$.

2.3 Positively factorizing codes

Given a finite maximal code C, a *factorization* (P, S) for C is a pair of polynomials $P, S \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$ such that $\underline{C} = P(\underline{A} - 1)S + 1$. The following result shows that any finite maximal code has a factorization.

Theorem 2.1 *[37] Let $C \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle$, with $(C, 1) = 0$, and let $P, S \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$ be such that $C = P(\underline{A}-1)S+1$. Then, C is the characteristic polynomial of a finite maximal code. Furthermore, if $P, S \in \mathbb{N}\langle A\rangle$, then P, S are polynomials with coefficients $0, 1$. Conversely, for any finite maximal code C there exist $P, S \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$ such that $\underline{C} = P(\underline{A} - 1)S + 1$.*

Of course, (P, S) is a factorization for C if and only if the same holds for $(-P, -S)$ We say that a factorization (P, S) for C is *positive* if P, S or $-P, -S$ have coefficients $0, 1$.[1] Any code C having a positive factorization is finite, maximal and is called a *(positively) factorizing code.*

Finite maximal prefix codes are the simplest examples of positively factorizing codes. Indeed, C is a finite maximal prefix code if and only if $\underline{C} = \underline{P}(\underline{A} - 1) + 1$ for a finite subset P of A^* [6]. In the previous relation, P is the set of the proper prefixes of the words in C.

Let C be a finite maximal code over A, let a be a letter and let n be its order. Assume that (P, S) is a factorization for C and P, S have coefficients $0, 1$. Then $P, S \in \mathbb{Z}\langle A\rangle$ are such that $\underline{C} = P(\underline{A} - 1)S + 1$. Thus, there exists $(I, J) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and for all $b \in A \setminus \{a\}$, finite sets I', J', L_i, M_j of nonnegative integers, such that

$$C_0 \;=\; a^n,\ P_0 = a^I,\ S_0 = a^J,\ a^I a^J = \frac{a^n - 1}{a - 1}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$C_1 \;=\; a^I b a^J + \sum_{i\in I'} a^i b a^{L_i}(a - 1)a^J + \sum_{j\in J'} a^I(a - 1)a^{M_j} b a^j \geq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

[1] Note that in this paper we use the term "positive factorization" with a slightly different meaning with respect to the definition of the same term in [6].

The pairs (I, J) as above have been completely described in [27]. More precisely, starting with the chain of positive distinct divisors of n:

$$k_0 \;=\; 1 \mid k_1 \mid k_2 \mid \ldots \mid k_s = n,$$

let us consider the two polynomials a^I and a^J defined by:

$$a^I \;=\; \prod_{j \text{ even },1\leq j\leq s} \frac{(a^{k_j}-1)}{(a^{k_{j-1}}-1)}, \quad a^J = \prod_{j \text{ odd },1\leq j\leq s} \frac{(a^{k_j}-1)}{(a^{k_{j-1}}-1)}. \tag{2.3}$$

In [27], Krasner and Ranulac proved that a pair (I, J) satisfies Eqs.(2.3) if and only if for any $z \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ there exists a unique (i, j), with $i \in I$ and $j \in J$, such that $i + j = z$, i.e., $a^I a^J = \frac{a^n-1}{a-1}$. The pair (I, J) is called a *Krasner factorization (of order n).*

3 Factorizations of cyclic groups and positively factorizing codes

3.1 Hajós factorizations

In [24], Hajós gave a method, slightly corrected later by Sands in [38], for the construction of a class of factorizations of an abelian group $(G, +)$ which are of special interest in the construction of factorizing codes and in the proof of our results. As in [14], we describe this method for the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_n$ of order n (Definition 3.1). The corresponding factorizations will be named *Hajós factorizations.*

For subsets $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_q\}$, T of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, we define $S \circ T$ as the family of subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ having the form $\{s_i + t_i \mid i \in \{1, \ldots, q\}\}$, where $\{t_1, \ldots, t_q\}$ is any multiset of elements of T having the same cardinality as S.

Definition 3.1 *Let R, T be subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. (R, T) is a Hajós factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ if and only if there exists a chain of positive distinct divisors of n*

$$k_0 \;=\; 1 \mid k_1 \mid k_2 \mid \cdots \mid k_s = n, \tag{3.1}$$

such that

$$a^R \;\in\; (\cdots((\frac{a-1}{a-1} \cdot \frac{a^{k_1}-1}{a-1}) \circ \frac{a^{k_2}-1}{a^{k_1}-1}) \cdot \ldots \circ \ldots \frac{a^n-1}{a^{k_{s-1}}-1}), \tag{3.2}$$

$$a^T \;\in\; (\cdots((\frac{a-1}{a-1} \circ \frac{a^{k_1}-1}{a-1}) \cdot \frac{a^{k_2}-1}{a^{k_1}-1}) \circ \ldots \cdot \ldots \frac{a^n-1}{a^{k_{s-1}}-1}), \tag{3.3}$$

Furthermore we have $R, T \subseteq \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$.

We now recall three results which will be used. We begin with a recursive construction of Hajós factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, which was first given in [28] as a direct result and later proved in [17] for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 3.1 *[28] Let $R, T \subseteq \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ and suppose that (R, T) is a Hajós factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ with respect to the chain $k_0 = 1 \mid k_1 \mid k_2 \mid \cdots \mid k_s = n$ of positive distinct divisors of n. Then either $(R, T) = (R_1, T_1)$ or $(R, T) = (T_1, R_1)$, where (R_1, T_1) satisfies one of the two following conditions.*

1) *There exists* $t \in \{0,\dots,n-1\}$ *such that* $R_1 = \{0,\dots,n-1\}$ *and* $T_1 = \{t\}$. *Furthermore,* $s = 1$.

2) $R_1 = R^{(1)} + \{0,1,\dots,g-1\}h$, $T_1 = T^{(1)} \circ \{0,1,\dots,g-1\}h$, $(R^{(1)}, T^{(1)})$ *being a Hajós factorization of* $\mathbb{Z}_h$, $g, h \in \mathbb{N}$, $n = gh$, $R^{(1)}, T^{(1)} \subseteq \{0,\dots,h-1\}$. *The chain of divisors defining* $(R^{(1)}, T^{(1)})$ *is* $k_0 = 1 \mid k_1 \mid k_2 \mid \cdots \mid k_{s-1} = h$.

Example 3.1 The pair $(\{0,1\},\{1\})$ is a Hajós factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ (condition 1) in Proposition 3.1). Thus, $(\{1,2\},\{1,3,5\})$ is a Hajós factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_6$ since $\{1,2\} = \{0,1\} \circ \{0,1,2\}2$ and $\{1,3,5\} = \{1\} + \{0,1,2\}2$ (condition 2) in Proposition 3.1). Finally, $(\{1,2,7,8\},\{1,3,5\})$ is a Hajós factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ since $\{1,2,7,8\} = \{1,2\} + \{0,1\}6$ (condition 2) in Proposition 3.1).

As observed in [14], the simplest example of Hajós factorizations is given by Krasner pairs. Thus, Proposition 3.1 can also be applied: for each $n > 1$, for each Krasner pair (I, J) of order n, there exist $h, g \in \mathbb{N}$, with $h < n = gh$ such that either $I = I^{(1)} + \{0,1,\dots,(g-1)\}h$, with $(I^{(1)}, J)$ being a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_h$ or $J = J^{(1)} + \{0,1,\dots,(g-1)\}h$, with $(I, J^{(1)})$ being a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_h$.

Example 3.2 The pair $(\{0,1\},\{0\})$ is a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Then, $(\{0,1\},\{0,2,4\})$ is a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_6$ and $\{0,2,4\} = \{0\} + \{0,1,2\}2$ (condition 2) in Proposition 3.1). Finally, $(\{0,1,6,7\},\{0,2,4\})$ is a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ and $\{0,1,6,7\} = \{0,1\} + \{0,1\}6$ (condition 2) in Proposition 3.1).

A stronger relationship between Hajós factorizations and Krasner pairs is reported in Theorem 3.1 below and makes some equations between polynomials in $\mathbb{N}[a]$ intervene. This result, along with Lemma 3.1, will be needed.

Theorem 3.1 *[14] Let* (R, T) *be subsets of* $\{0,\dots,n-1\}$. *The following conditions are equivalent*

1) (R, T) *is a Hajós factorization of* $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

2) *There exists a Krasner factorization* (I, J) *of* $\mathbb{Z}_n$ *such that* (I, T), (R, J) *are (Hajós) factorizations of* $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

3) *There exist* $L, M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ *and a Krasner factorization* (I, J) *of* $\mathbb{Z}_n$ *such that*

$$a^R = a^I(1 + a^M(a-1)), \quad a^T = a^J(1 + a^L(a-1)). \tag{3.4}$$

Furthermore, 2) $\Leftrightarrow$ 3) *also holds for* $R, T \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

A construction of sets L, M satisfying Eq.(3.4) may be found in [12]. Theorem 3.1 points out that for each Hajós factorization (R, T), there is a Krasner factorization (I, J) associated with (R, T). In [28] (I, J) is called a *Krasner companion factorization* of (R, T). Each Krasner companion factorization of a given Hajós factorization (R, T) is associated with a chain of divisors of n defining (R, T) and can be easily constructed starting with it (see [16, Proposition 4.2]). It is worth pointing out that if I, J, R, T satisfy Eqs.(3.4) (and condition 2) in Proposition 3.1) then I, R (or J, T) are such that $I = I^{(1)} + \{0,1,\dots,(g-1)\}h$, $R = R^{(1)} + \{0,1,\dots,(g-1)\}h$, where $I^{(1)}$, $R^{(1)}$, g, h satisfy all the other conditions reported in Proposition 3.1 (for a proof, see the more general statement in [18, Lemma 4.5]). Finally, looking at Definition 3.1, we see that for a Hajós factorization (R, T) of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, we have $R, T \subseteq \{0,\dots,n-1\}$. Therefore, in

what follows, for $R, T \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, we will say that (R, T) is a Hajós factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ if $(R_{(n)}, T_{(n)})$ satisfies the conditions in Definition 3.1 where, for a subset X of $\mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote $X_{(n)} = \{x' \mid 0 \leq x' \leq n-1,\ \exists x \in X, x = x' \pmod n\}$. This is equivalent, as Lemma 3.1 shows, to define Hajós factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ as those pairs satisfying Eqs.(3.4).

Lemma 3.1 *[15] Let (I, J) be a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Let R, R', M be subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $a^R = a^I(1 + a^M(a-1))$ and $a^{R'} = a^{R_{(n)}}$. Then, $M' \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ exists such that $a^{R'} = a^I(1+a^{M'}(a-1))$ and $I + max\ M' + 1 \subseteq \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. Furthermore, if we set $R' = \{r_1, \ldots, r_q\}$, $R = \{r_1 + \lambda_1 n, \ldots, r_q + \lambda_q n\}$, for $\lambda_1, \ldots \lambda_q \geq 0$, and if we set $a^H = a^{r_1+\{0,n,\ldots,(\lambda_1-1)n\}} + \ldots + a^{r_q+\{0,n,\ldots,(\lambda_q-1)n\}}$ then we have a disjoint union $M = M' \cup M''$ with $M'' \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $a^{M''} = a^J a^H$ and $a^R = a^{R'} + a^I(a-1)a^{M''}$.*

3.2 Good arrangements

We now give a brief exposition of results which relate factorizing codes and factorizations of cyclic groups, through the notion of a *good arrangement*. We follow the notations used in [16] where matrices with entries in A^* or in $\mathbb{N}$ will be considered. Given a matrix $\mathcal{A} = (a_{p,q})_{1 \leq p \leq m,\ 1 \leq q \leq \ell}$ with entries in $\mathbb{N}$ and an integer n, $n \geq 2$, we denote $\mathcal{A}_{(n)} = (a'_{p,q})_{1 \leq p \leq m,\ 1 \leq q \leq \ell}$, where, for each p, q, $1 \leq p \leq m,\ 1 \leq q \leq \ell$, we have $a'_{p,q} = a_{p,q} \pmod n$, $0 \leq a'_{p,q} \leq n-1$. We also denote $h + \mathcal{A} = (b_{p,q})_{1 \leq p \leq m,\ 1 \leq q \leq \ell}$, where, for each p, q, $1 \leq p \leq m,\ 1 \leq q \leq \ell$, we have $b_{p,q} = h + a_{p,q}$ and $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = (a_{p,q})_{1 \leq p \leq m,\ 1 \leq q \leq 2\ell}$, where $\mathcal{B} = (a_{p,q})_{1 \leq p \leq m,\ \ell+1 \leq q \leq 2\ell}$. Finally $\cup_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{A}_i = (\cup_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{A}_i) \cup \mathcal{A}_n$. A dual operation of union with respect to the columns is assumed to be defined.

An arrangement of X, with $X \subseteq A^*$ (resp. $X \subseteq N$), will be an arrangement of the elements of X in a matrix with entries in A^* (resp. $\mathbb{N}$) and size $\mathrm{Card}(X)$.

Definition 3.2 *[16] Let $(R_1, T_1), \ldots, (R_m, T_m)$ be Hajós factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ having (I, J) as a Krasner companion factorization. An arrangement $\mathcal{D} = (r_{p,q})_{1 \leq p \leq m,\ 1 \leq q \leq l}$ of $\cup_{p=1}^{m} R_p$ having the R_p's as rows is a* good arrangement *of $(R_1, \ldots, R_m)$ (*with respect to the rows*) if $\mathcal{D}$ can be recursively constructed by using the following three rules.*

1) *$\mathcal{D}$ is a good arrangement of $\cup_{p=1}^{m} R_p$ if $\mathcal{D}_{(n)}$ is a good arrangement of $\cup_{p=1}^{m} (R_p)_{(n)}$.*

2) *Suppose that (R_p, T_p) satisfies condition 1) in Proposition 3.1, for all $p \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. If $R_p = \{r_p\}$ with $r_p \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, then $\mathcal{D}$ is the matrix with only one column having r_p as the pth entry. If $R_p = \{r_{p,0}, \ldots, r_{p,n-1}\}$ with $r_{p,i} = i$, then $\mathcal{D} = (r_{p,j})_{1 \leq p \leq m,\ 0 \leq j \leq n-1}$.*

3) *Suppose that (R_p, T_p) satisfies condition 2) in Proposition 3.1, for all $p \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, i.e., either $R_p = R_p^{(1)} + \{0, h, \ldots, (g-1)h)\}$ or $R_p = R_p^{(1)} \circ \{0, h, \ldots, (g-1)h)\}$. Let $\mathcal{D}^{(1)}$ be a good arrangement of $\cup_{p=1}^{m} R_p^{(1)}$ having the $R_p^{(1)}$'s as rows. In the first case, we set $\mathcal{D} = \cup_{k=0}^{g-1}(kh + \mathcal{D}^{(1)})$. In the second case, $\mathcal{D}$ is obtained by taking $\mathcal{D}^{(1)}$ and then substituting in it each $r_{p,q}^{(1)} \in R_p^{(1)}$ with the corresponding $r_{p,q}^{(1)} + \lambda_{p,q} h \in R_p$.*

Let $(R_1, T_1), \ldots, (R_m, T_m)$ be Hajós factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ having (I, J) as a Krasner companion factorization. Obviously, we can consider arrangements of $\cup_{p=1}^{m} R_p$ having the R_p's as *columns* and therefore, we can give a dual notion of a *good arrangement* of $\cup_{p=1}^{m} R_p$ *with respect to the columns* (by using the corresponding dual operation $\cup$). This arrangement will be the transpose matrix of a good arrangement of $\cup_{p=1}^{m} R_p$ with respect to the rows. In [16] the author proved that there exists a *unique* good arrangement of $\cup_{p=1}^{m} R_p$ with respect to the rows (resp. columns). In the same paper [16], the following property of good arrangements has been proved.

Proposition 3.2 *Let $(R_1, T_1), \ldots, (R_m, T_m)$ be Hajós factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ having (I, J) as a Krasner companion factorization. Let $\mathcal{D} = (r_{p,q})_{1 \leq p \leq m,\, 1 \leq q \leq \ell}$ be the good arrangement of $\cup_{p=1}^{m} R_p$ with respect to the rows. Then, the two following conditions are satisfied.*

a) For each column $W_q = (r_{1,q}, \ldots, r_{m,q})$ of $\mathcal{D}$, there is an ordered sequence $J_q = (j_{1,q}, \ldots, j_{m,q})$ of elements of J satisfying:

$$r_{1,q} + j_{1,q} \;=\; r_{2,q} + j_{2,q} = \ldots = r_{m,q} + j_{m,q} = n_q \pmod{n}. \tag{3.5}$$

b) Suppose that $R_p, T_q \subseteq \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. Thus, for each column $W_q = (r_{1,q}, \ldots, r_{m,q})$ of $\mathcal{D}$, there exists an ordered sequence $J_q = (j_{1,q}, \ldots, j_{m,q})$ of elements of J satisfying:

$$r_{1,q} + j_{1,q} \;=\; r_{2,q} + j_{2,q} = \ldots = r_{m,q} + j_{m,q} = n_q. \tag{3.6}$$

The n_q's are all different.

Definition 3.3 *[16] Let $C_1 = (a^{r_{p,q}} b a^{v_{p,q}})_{1 \leq p \leq m,\, 1 \leq q \leq \ell}$ be an arrangement of $C_1 \subseteq a^*ba^*$. The matrix $\mathcal{R} = (r_{p,q})_{1 \leq p \leq m,\, 1 \leq q \leq \ell}$ is the* induced arrangement *of the rows $R_p = \{r_{p,q} \mid q \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}\}$ and the matrix $\mathcal{T} = (v_{p,q})_{1 \leq p \leq m,\, 1 \leq q \leq \ell}$ is the* induced arrangement *of the columns $T_q = \{v_{p,q} \mid p \in \{1, \ldots, m\}\}$. Furthermore, $R_{p,w} = \{a^{r_{p,q}} b a^{v_{p,q}} \mid 1 \leq q \leq \ell\}$ (resp. $T_{q,w} = \{a^{r_{p,q}} b a^{v_{p,q}} \mid 1 \leq p \leq m\}$) is a* word-row *(resp. a* word-column*) of C_1, for $1 \leq p \leq m$ (resp. $1 \leq q \leq \ell$).*

Definition 3.4 *[16] An arrangement $C_1 = (a^{r_{p,q}} b a^{v_{p,q}})_{1 \leq p \leq m,\, 1 \leq q \leq \ell}$ of $C_1 \subseteq a^*ba^*$ is a* good arrangement (with (I, J) as a Krasner associated pair) *if it satisfies the following three conditions:*

1) For each row R_p and each column T_q, $1 \leq p \leq m$, $1 \leq q \leq \ell$, (R_p, T_q) is a Hajós factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ having (I, J) as a Krasner companion factorization with respect to a chain of divisors of $n = \mathrm{Card}(C_1)$.

2) The induced arrangement of the rows is a good arrangement of $\cup_{p=1}^{m} R_p$ with respect to the rows.

3) The induced arrangement of the columns is a good arrangement of $\cup_{q=1}^{\ell} T_q$ with respect to the columns.

We set $\mathcal{G}_1(I, J) = \{C_1 \subseteq a^*ba^* \mid$ there exists a good arrangement of C_1 with (I, J) as a Krasner associated pair$\}$.

To simplify notation, from now on we will write $\mathcal{G}(I, J)$ instead of $\mathcal{G}_1(I, J)$. The remainder of this section will be devoted to a list of results on good arrangements and factorizing codes.

Proposition 3.3 *[16] Let C_1 be a subset of a^*ba^* which satisfies Eq.(2.2) with (I, J) being a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Then, there exists a good arrangement of C_1 with (I, J) as a Krasner associated pair.*

Remark 3.1 Observe that, in view of Proposition 3.3, if C_1 satisfies Eq.(2.2) then C_1 is a polynomial with coefficients $0, 1$, i.e., $C_1 \subseteq a^*ba^*$.

If $C_1 = C \cap a^*ba^*$ for a factorizing code C, then C_1 has a good arrangement. Proposition below shows a particular case in which the converse holds. In general this is not true. Indeed, there exist sets C_1 having a good arrangement and which are not codes (see [19]).

Proposition 3.4 *[19] Let $1 \mid h \mid hg = n$ be a chain of divisors of n, let (I, J) be a Krasner pair of order n, with $I = \{0, 1, \ldots, h-1\}$, $J = \{0, h, \ldots, (g-1)h\}$ and let $C_1 \subseteq a^*ba^*$. Assume that C_1 has a good arrangement with (I, J) as a Krasner associated pair. Then, there exist $t_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, h-1\}$, $\lambda_{i,k} \in \{0, 1, \ldots, g-1\}$, $0 \leq i \leq h-1$, $0 \leq k \leq g-1$, such that*

$$C_1^{(\mathrm{mod}\ n)} = \sum_{k=0}^{g-1} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} a^{i+\lambda_{i,k}h} b a^{t_i+kh}. \tag{3.7}$$

Furthermore, there exist factorizing codes C, C' such that $C \cap a^*ba^* = C_1^{(\mathrm{mod}\ n)}$, $C' \cap a^*ba^* = C_1$, and $a^n \in C \cap C'$.

In the statement below $\Omega(n)$ will denote the *number of factors* in the prime factorization of n [26].

Corollary 3.1 *[19] Let C_1 be a subset of a^*ba^*, with $\mathrm{Card}(C_1) = n$ and $\Omega(n) \leq 2$. Then, there exists a factorizing code C such that $C_1 = C \cap a^*ba^*$ if and only if there exists a Krasner factorization (I, J) of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $C_1 \in \mathcal{G}(I, J)$.*

We recall that under the hypothesis of Proposition 3.4, the class $\mathcal{G}(I, J)$ coincide with other classes of subsets di a^*ba^* defined in [18].

4 Factorizations of cyclic groups and finite maximal codes

As pointed out in [6], for any finite maximal code X one can associate with each letter a several factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, where n is the order of a. A word w is *right completable* in X^* if there exists $v \in A^*$ such that $wv \in X^*$. The following is Theorem 12.2.6 in [6].

Theorem 4.1 *Let X be a finite maximal code. Let $\phi : A^* \to M$ be the morphism from A^* onto the syntactic monoid of X^* and let K be the minimal ideal of M. Let a be a letter and let n be its order. For $u, v \in A^*$ let*

$$R(u) = \{i \geq 0 \mid ua^i \in X^*\}, \quad L(v) = \{j \geq 0 \mid a^j v A^* \cap X^* \neq \emptyset\},$$

and let $\overline{R}(u)$, $\overline{L}(v)$ denote the sets of residues $(\mathrm{mod}\ n)$ of $R(u)$, $L(v)$. If $u, v \in \phi^{-1}(K)$ and u is right completable in X^*, then $(\overline{R}(u), \overline{L}(v))$ is a factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Moreover $\mathrm{Card}(\overline{L}(v)))$ is a multiple of the degree of X.

This result has been enhanced in [45] through the notions of left and right sets, introduced in the same paper and recalled below. Set $T = \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $|X| = \max\{|x| \mid x \in X\}$. A word w is *strongly right completable* for X if, for all $u \in A^*$, there exists $v \in A^*$ such that $wuv \in X^*$.

Definition 4.1 *[45] The set a^P is a* left set *of X if there is a strongly right completable word $y \in A^*$ for X such that*

$$P = \{i \in T \mid ya^{2n|X|+i} \in X^*\}.$$

The set a^Q is a right set *of X if there is a word $x \in A^*$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \{k \in T \mid a^{k+2n|X|}xA^* \cap X^* \neq \emptyset\} \quad \textit{and} \\ \forall i, j \in Q, i < j \qquad & a^{j-i} \notin (X^*)^{-1}X^* \end{aligned}$$

The word y (resp. x) is the generator of the left (resp. right) set a^P (resp. a^Q).

Theorem 4.2 *[45] Let $X \subseteq A^*$ be a finite maximal code and let n be the order of $a \in A$. For any left set a^P of X and any right set a^Q of X, the pair (P, Q) is a factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$.*

Let a be a letter in A. In the following we set $B = A \setminus \{a\}$ and, for $w \in B(a^*B)^*$,

$$X_w = (a^*wa^* \cap X^*) \setminus [a^n(a^*wa^* \cap X^*) \cap (a^*wa^* \cap X^*)a^n].$$

The following is part of one of the main results in [45].

Theorem 4.3 *[45] Let $X \subseteq A^*$ be a finite maximal code and let n be the order of $a \in A$. Let $a^P = \{a^{p_1}, \ldots, a^{p_s}\}$ be a left set of X and let $a^Q = \{a^{q_1}, \ldots, a^{q_t}\}$ be a right set of X. For any $w \in B(a^*B)^*$, there exists an arrangement $X_w = (a^{i_{k,m}}wa^{j_{k,m}})_{1 \le k \le s,\, 1 \le m \le t}$ of X_w satisfying the following properties*

(1) there exists an ordered sequence $P_m = (p_{1,m}, \ldots, p_{s,m})$ of elements of P, $\quad 1 \le m \le t$, satisfying:

$$i_{1,m} + p_{1,m} \;=\; i_{2,m} + p_{2,m} = \ldots = i_{s,m} + p_{s,m} = q_m \pmod{n}. \tag{4.1}$$

(2) there exists an ordered sequence $Q_k = (q_{k,1}, \ldots, q_{k,t})$ of elements of Q, $\quad 1 \le k \le s$, satisfying:

$$j_{k,1} + q_{k,1} \;=\; j_{k,2} + q_{k,2} = \ldots = j_{k,t} + q_{k,t} = p_k \pmod{n}. \tag{4.2}$$

(3) For each row $R_k = \{i_{k,m} \mid 1 \le m \le t\}$ and each column $T_m = \{j_{k,m} \mid 1 \le k \le s\}$, $a^{\overline{R_k}}$ is a right set and $a^{\overline{T_m}}$ is a left set, and $(R_k, T_m), (R_k, P), (Q, T_m)$ are factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

For our aims we need a statement which is an intermediate step in the proof of the above theorem. It is reported below.

Proposition 4.1 *[45] Let $X \subseteq A^*$ be a finite maximal code and let n be the order of $a \in A$. For any left set $a^P = \{a^{p_1}, \ldots, a^{p_s}\}$ of X and any right set $a^Q = \{a^{q_1}, \ldots, a^{q_t}\}$ of X, there is a bijection $\phi : P \times Q \to X_w$ defined by $\phi((p_k, q_m)) = a^{i_{k,m}}wa^{j_{k,m}}$, where $i_{k,m}, j_{k,m}$ satisfy Eqs.(4.1), (4.2), $1 \le k \le s$, $1 \le m \le t$.*

The following definition is a slight modification of a notion introduced in [45].

Definition 4.2 *[45] Let X be a finite maximal code, let a be a letter of order n. The* system of factorizations *of $\mathbb{Z}_n$* induced by *X is the set $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{Q}$, where*

$$\mathbb{P} = \{P \subseteq T \mid a^P \textit{is a left set of } X\}, \quad \mathbb{Q} = \{Q \subseteq T \mid a^Q \textit{ is a right set of } X\}$$

By Theorem 4.2, for any $P \in \mathbb{P}$, $Q \in \mathbb{Q}$, the pair (P, Q) is a factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Consider the following inequalities

$$\forall K \ge 0 \qquad \mathrm{Card}(\{a^i wa^j \in X_w \mid i + j \le K\} \le K + 1 \tag{4.3}$$

The following is another main result in [45].

Theorem 4.4 *[45] Let X be a finite maximal code, let a be a letter of order n. Let $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{Q}$ be the system of factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ induced by X. If a Krasner factorization (of order n) (I, J) is in $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{Q}$, then Eqs.(4.3) hold.*

The key argument in the proof of the above theorem is the existence of an injection $\Phi : X_w \to a^I w a^J$ such that $\Phi(a^i w a^j) = a^{i'} w a^{j'}$, with $i' \leq i, j' \leq j$. Proposition 4.2, needed for our aims, shows how, starting with Φ, the authors conclude the proof of Theorem 4.4. Its proof is reported for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 4.2 *[45] Let X be a finite maximal code, let a be a letter in A of order n. Let (I, J) be a Krasner factorization of order n. If there exists an injection $\Phi : X_w \to a^I w a^J$ such that $\Phi(a^i w a^j) = a^{m_i} w a^{\ell_j}$, with $m_i \leq i, \ell_j \leq j$, then Eqs.(4.3) hold.*

Proof :
Let $Y = \{(i, j) \mid a^i w a^j \in X_w\}$ and let $\phi : Y \to I \times J$ be such that $\phi((i, j)) = (m_i, \ell_j)$, where (m_i, ℓ_j) is defined by $\Phi(a^i w a^j) = a^{m_i} w a^{\ell_j}$. Clearly, Eqs.(4.3) hold for $a^I w a^J$, that is

$$\mathrm{Card}(\{a^{i'} w a^{j'} \in a^I w a^J \mid i' + j' \leq K\}) \leq K + 1.$$

Moreover, since $m_i \leq i, \ell_j \leq j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{a^{m_i} w a^{\ell_j} \in \Phi(X_w) \mid i + j \leq K\} &\subseteq \{a^{m_i} w a^{\ell_j} \in \Phi(X_w) \mid m_i + \ell_j \leq K\} \\ &\subseteq \{a^{i'} w a^{j'} \in a^I w a^J \mid i' + j' \leq K\}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Card}(\{a^i w a^j \in X_w \mid i + j \leq K\}) &= \mathrm{Card}(\{a^{m_i} w a^{\ell_j} \in \Phi(X_w) \mid i + j \leq K\} \\ &\leq \mathrm{Card}(\{a^{i'} w a^{j'} \in a^I w a^J \mid i' + j' \leq K\}) \\ &\leq K + 1 \end{aligned}$$

The following two corollaries of Theorem 4.4 have been stated in [45].

Corollary 4.1 *If X is a finite maximal code and $a^p \in X$, where p is a prime number, then Eqs.(4.3) hold.*

Corollary 4.2 *If X is a finite maximal code, (P, Q) is in its system of factorizations, and one among P, Q is a singleton, then Eqs.(4.3) hold.*

5 Finite maximal codes and good arrangements

Results in this section show that Theorem 4.4 may be proved under a weaker hypothesis. This is due to the close relation between Eqs.(4.1),(4.2) and Eqs.(3.5). In Section 3.2 we introduced good arrangements for subsets $C_1 \subseteq a^* b a^*$. Now we refer to an extension of this notion to subsets X_w associated with a finite maximal code X. Given an arrangement of X_w, we consider the matrix obtained by changing w with b in all its words. The former arrangement is good if so is the latter.

Let X be a finite maximal code. In this section we prove the following:

(1) If the hypothesis of Theorem 4.4 is verified, i.e., a Krasner factorization (I, J) is in the system of factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ induced by X, then there exists a good arrangement of X_w, for any $w \in B(a^* B)^*$, with (I, J) as a Krasner associated pair (Theorem 5.1).

(2) For any $w \in B(a^* B)^*$, if there exists a good arrangement of X_w with (I, J) as a Krasner associated pair, then the hypothesis of Proposition 4.2 is verified, i.e., there exists an injection $\Phi : X_w \to a^I w a^J$ such that $\Phi(a^i w a^j) = a^{m_i} w a^{\ell_j}$, with $m_i \leq i, \ell_j \leq j$ (Proposition 5.2).

(3) If the hypothesis of Corollary 4.1 is satisfied, i.e., $a^p \in X$, where p is a prime number, then there exists a good arrangement of X_w, for any $w \in B(a^*B)^*$ (Corollary 5.1).

(4) If the hypothesis of Corollary 4.2 is satisfied, i.e., (P, Q) is in the system of factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ induced by X and one among P, Q is a singleton, then there exists a good arrangement of X_w, for any $w \in B(a^*B)^*$ (Corollary 5.2).

Recall that $\overline{i}$ denotes the unique integer in $\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $i = \overline{i} \pmod n$. The following result is needed for the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Proposition 5.1 *If X is a set of words such that*

(1) there is an arrangement $X_w = (a^{i_{k,m}} w a^{j_{k,m}})_{1 \le k \le s,\, 1 \le m \le t}$ of X_w such that for each row $R_k = \{i_{k,m} \mid 1 \le m \le t\}$ and each column $T_m = \{j_{k,m} \mid 1 \le k \le s\}$, the pairs $(R_k, T_m), (R_k, J), (I, T_m)$ are factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, where (I, J) is a Krasner factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

(2) there exists a bijection $\phi : J \times I \to X_w$ such that $\phi(m, k) = a^{i_{k,m}} w a^{j_{k,m}}$,

then there exists a good arrangement of X_w.

Proof :
By Definition 3.4, an arrangement of $X_w = (a^{i_{k,m}} w a^{j_{k,m}})_{1 \le k \le s,\, 1 \le m \le t}$ of X_w is good if the induced arrangement $\overline{X}_w = (a^{\overline{i_{k,m}}} w a^{\overline{j_{k,m}}})_{1 \le k \le s,\, 1 \le m \le t}$ is good. Hence, we may assume $i_{k,m}, j_{k,m} \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. The proof is by induction on the length s of the chain of positive distinct divisors of n associated with the rows R_k, the columns T_m, I and J.

If $s = 1$, then $X_w = (a^{i_{1,m}} w a^{j_{1,m}})_{1 \le m \le n}$ with $i_{1,m} = m-1 \pmod n$, $j_{1,m} \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\} = I$, and $J = \{0\}$ or $X_w = (a^{i_{k,1}} w a^{j_{k,1}})_{1 \le k \le n}$ with $j_{k,1} = k-1 \pmod n$, $i_{k,1} \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\} = J$, and $I = \{0\}$. In both cases, by Definition 3.4, this is a good arrangement of X_w.

Assume $s > 1$. We may assume $I = I^{(1)} + \{0, 1, \ldots, (g-1)\}h$, $R_k = R_k^{(1)} + \{0, 1, \ldots, g-1\}h$, $T_m = T_m^{(1)} \circ \{0, 1, \ldots, g-1\}h$, where $n = gh$, $(R_k^{(1)}, T_m^{(1)})$ are Hajós factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_h$ having $(I^{(1)}, J)$ as a Krasner companion factorization (a similar argument applies in the other cases). Moreover, $R_k^{(1)}, T_m^{(1)} \subseteq \{0, \ldots, h-1\}$ and the chain of divisors defining $(R_k^{(1)}, T_m^{(1)})$ has length $s-1$. Consider the restriction ϕ_t of ϕ to $J \times I^{(1)} + th$, $t \in \{0, 1, \ldots, g-1\}$ and the corresponding submatrices $X_w^{(t)}$ of X_w. By induction hypothesis, there is a good arrangement of $X_w^{(t)}$. Thus $\cup_{t=0}^{g-1} X_w^{(t)}$ is the required good arrangement of X_w. ∎

Theorem 5.1 *Let X be a finite maximal code. If a Krasner factorization (I, J) of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is is in the system of factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ induced by X, then there exists a good arrangement of X_w, for any $w \in B(a^*B)^*$, with (I, J) as a Krasner associated pair.*

Proof :
Let X be a finite maximal code. Assume that a Krasner factorization (I, J) of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is in the system of factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ induced by X. By Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 4.1, there exists a bijection $\phi : J \times I \to X_w$ which induces an arrangement $X_w = (a^{i_{k,m}} w a^{j_{k,m}})_{1 \le k \le s,\, 1 \le m \le t}$ of X_w such that for each row $R_k = \{i_{k,m} \mid 1 \le m \le t\}$ and each column $T_m = \{j_{k,m} \mid 1 \le k \le s\}$, the pairs $(R_k, T_m), (R_k, J), (I, T_m)$ are factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$. These are all Hajós factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ having (I, J) as a Krasner companion factorization (Theorem 3.1). Therefore, by Proposition 5.1, the conclusion follows. ∎

Proposition 5.2 *Let $w \in B(a^*B)^*$. If there exists a good arrangement of X_w, with (I, J) as a Krasner associated pair, then there exists an injection $\Phi : X_w \to a^I w a^J$ such that $\Phi(a^i w a^j) = a^{\lambda_i} w a^{\sigma_j}$, with $\lambda_i \leq i, \sigma_j \leq j$. Consequently, Eqs.(4.3) hold.*

Proof :
Let $w \in B(a^*B)^*$. Assume that there exists a good arrangement $X_w = (a^{r_{p,q}} b a^{v_{p,q}})_{1\leq p\leq m,\, 1\leq q\leq \ell}$ of X_w, with (I, J) as a Krasner associated pair. Therefore, X_w satisfies the three conditions in Definition 3.4. Since there is a bijection between X_w and $(a^{\overline{r_{p,q}}} w a^{\overline{v_{p,q}}})_{1\leq p\leq m,\, 1\leq q\leq \ell}$, we may assume $r_{p,q}, v_{p,q} \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$.

We prove the statement by induction on the length s of the chain $k_0 = 1 \mid k_1 \mid k_2 \mid \cdots \mid k_s = n$ of positive distinct divisors of n associated with the rows R_p, the columns T_q, $1 \leq p \leq m$, $1 \leq q \leq \ell$, I and J. If $s = 1$, then $X_w = (a^{r_{1,q}} w a^{v_{1,q}})_{1\leq q\leq n}$ with $r_{1,q} = q - 1 \pmod n$, $v_{1,q} \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\} = I$, and $J = \{0\}$ or $X_w = (a^{r_{p,1}} w a^{v_{p,1}})_{1\leq p\leq n}$ with $v_{p,1} = p - 1 \pmod n$, $r_{p,1} \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\} = J$, and $I = \{0\}$. In the first case the map defined by $\Phi(a^{r_{1,q}} w a^{v_{1,q}}) = a^{r_{1,q}} w$ is the required injection. The argument is similar in the second case.

Assume $s > 1$ and set $n = gh$, where $k_{s-1} = h$. We may assume $I = I^{(1)} + \{0, 1, \ldots, (g-1)\}h$, $R_p = R_p^{(1)} + \{0, 1, \ldots, g-1\}h$, $T_q = T_q^{(1)} \circ \{0, 1, \ldots, g-1\}h$, where $(R_p^{(1)}, T_q^{(1)})$ are Hajós factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_h$ having $(I^{(1)}, J)$ as a Krasner companion factorization (a similar argument applies in the other cases). Moreover, $R_p^{(1)}, T_q^{(1)} \subseteq \{0, \ldots, h-1\}$ and the chain of divisors $k_0 = 1 \mid k_1 \mid k_2 \mid \cdots \mid k_{s-1} = h$ defining $(R_p^{(1)}, T_q^{(1)})$ has length $s - 1$. Finally, the matrices $X_w^{(t)}$ obtained by considering words $a^i w a^j$ with $i \in R_p^{(1)}$, $t \in \{0, 1, \ldots, (g-1)\}$, $j \in T_q^{(1)}$ and $a^{i+th} w a^{j+\mu h} \in X_w$ are good arrangements with $(I^{(1)}, J)$ as a Krasner associated pair. By induction hypothesis, there are g injective functions $\Phi_t : X_w^{(t)} \to a^{I^{(1)}} w a^J$ such that $\Phi(a^i w a^j) = a^{\lambda_i} w a^{\sigma_j}$, with $\lambda_i \leq i, \sigma_j \leq j$. The function Φ, defined by $\Phi(a^{i+th} w a^{j+\mu h}) = a^{th} \Phi_t(a^i w a^j)$ is the required function. ∎

Corollary 5.1 *If X is a finite maximal code and $a^p \in X$, where p is a prime number, then there exists a good arrangement of X_w, for any $w \in B(a^*B)^*$. Consequently, Eqs.(4.3) hold.*

Proof :
Let X be as in the statement. By Theorem 4.3, for any $w \in B(a^*B)^*$ there exists an arrangement $X_w = (a^{i_{k,m}} w a^{j_{k,m}})_{1\leq k\leq s,\, 1\leq m\leq t}$ of X_w such that (R_k, T_m) is a factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_p$, for each row $R_k = \{i_{k,m} \mid 1 \leq m \leq t\}$ and each column $T_m = \{j_{k,m} \mid 1 \leq k \leq s\}$. Therefore, $X_w = (a^{i_{1,m}} w a^{j_{1,m}})_{1\leq m\leq p}$ with $R_1 = \{0, \ldots, p-1\} \pmod p$ or $X_w = (a^{i_{k,1}} w a^{j_{k,1}})_{1\leq k\leq p}$ with $T_1 = \{0, \ldots, p-1\} \pmod p$. By Definition 3.4, in both cases this is a good arrangement of X_w. ∎

Corollary 5.2 *If X is a finite maximal code, (P, Q) is in its system of factorizations, and one among P, Q is a singleton, then there exists a good arrangement of X_w, for any $w \in B(a^*B)^*$. Consequently, Eqs.(4.3) hold.*

Proof :
Let X be as in the statement. By Theorem 4.3, for any $w \in B(a^*B)^*$ there exists an arrangement $X_w = (a^{i_{k,m}} w a^{j_{k,m}})_{1\leq k\leq s,\, 1\leq m\leq t}$ of X_w such that (R_k, T_m) is a factorization of $\mathbb{Z}_p$, for each row $R_k = \{i_{k,m} \mid 1 \leq m \leq t\}$ and each column $T_m = \{j_{k,m} \mid 1 \leq k \leq s\}$. By hypothesis $s = 1$, $m = n$ or $s = n$, $m = 1$. Correspondingly, $X_w = (a^{i_{1,m}} w a^{j_{1,m}})_{1\leq m\leq n}$ with $R_1 = \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ $\pmod n$ or $X_w = (a^{i_{k,1}} w a^{j_{k,1}})_{1\leq k\leq n}$ with $T_1 = \{0, \ldots, n-1\} \pmod n$. By Definition 3.4, in both cases this is a good arrangement of X_w. ∎

6 Open problems

Let X be a finite maximal code.

Of course, the main open problem is whether there always exists a good arrangement of X_w, for any $w \in B(a^*B)^*$. Or, following [45], if there always exists a Krasner factorization (I, J) such that a^I is a left set and a^J is a right set (equivalently, (I, J) is in the system of factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ induced by X). This could be related to a recursive construction of the family of finite maximal codes.

Other open problems are the following:

Is the converse of Theorem 5.2 true? That is, does the existence of a good arrangement of X_w, for any $w \in B(a^*B)^*$, with (I, J) as a Krasner associated pair, imply that (I, J) in the system of factorizations of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ induced by X?

Is Corollary 5.1 still true when the hypothesis "p is a prime number" is replaced by $\Omega(p) \leq 2$? (see Corollary 3.1.) Is Corollary 5.2 still true when the hypothesis "one among P, Q is a singleton" is replaced by "$\mathrm{Card}(P) \leq 2$ or $\mathrm{Card}(Q) \leq 2$"? (see Proposition 3.4.)

References

[1] V. Berthé, C. De Felice, F. Dolce, J. Leroy, D. Perrin, C. Reutenauer, G. Rindone, Acyclic, connected and tree sets, *Monatsh. Math.* **176** (2015) 521–550.

[2] V. Berthé, C. De Felice, F. Dolce, J. Leroy, D. Perrin, C. Reutenauer, G. Rindone, Bifix codes and interval exchanges, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **219** (2015) 2781–2798.

[3] V. Berthé, C. De Felice, F. Dolce, J. Leroy, D. Perrin, C. Reutenauer, G. Rindone, Maximal bifix decoding, *Discrete Math.* **338** (2015) 725–742.

[4] V. Berthé, C. De Felice, F. Dolce, J. Leroy, D. Perrin, C. Reutenauer, G. Rindone, The finite index basis property, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **219** (2015) 2521–2537.

[5] Jean Berstel, Clelia De Felice, Dominique Perrin, Christophe Reutenauer, Giuseppina Rindone, Bifix codes and Sturmian words, *J. Algebra* **369** (2012) 146–202.

[6] Jean Berstel, Dominique Perrin, Christophe Reutenauer, *Codes and Automata*, Encyclopedia on Mathematics and its Applications **129**, Cambridge University Press, 2009.

[7] J. Berstel, C. Reutenauer, *Noncommutative Rational Series With Applications*, Encyclopedia on Mathematics and its Applications **137**, Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[8] J. M. Boë, Une famille remarquable de codes indécomposables, in: *Proc. Icalp 78* Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. **62** (1978) 105–112.

[9] J. M. Boë, Sur les codes factorisants, in: D. Perrin (Ed.), *Théorie des Codes, Actes de la 7ème Ecole de Printemps d'Informatique Théorique*, LITP and ENSTA, Paris (1980) 1–8.

[10] J. M. Boë, Sur les codes synchronisants coupants, in: A de Luca (Ed.), *"Non Commutative Structures in Algebra and Geometric Combinatorics"*, Quaderni della Ric. Sc. del C.N.R. **109** (1981) 7–10.

[11] Clelia De Felice, A note on the triangle conjecture, *Inform. Process. Lett.* (1983) **14** (1983) 197-200.

[12] C. De Felice, Construction of a family of finite maximal codes, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 63 (1989) 157–184.

[13] C. De Felice, A partial result about the factorization conjecture for finite variable-length codes, *Discrete Math.* **122** (1993) 137–152.

[14] C. De Felice, An application of Hajós factorizations to variable-length codes, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **164** (1996) 223–252.

[15] C. De Felice, On some Schützenberger Conjectures, *Information and Comp.* **168** (2001) 144–155.

[16] C. De Felice, An enhanced property of factorizing codes, *Theoretical Computer Science* **340** (2005) 240–256.

[17] C. De Felice, On a complete set of operations for factorizing codes, *Theoret. Inform. Appl.* **40** (2006) 29–52.

[18] C. De Felice, Finite completions via factorizing codes, *Intern. Journ. of Algebra and Comput.* **17** (2007) 715–760.

[19] C. De Felice, On factorizing codes: structural properties and related decision problems, *Advances in Applied Mathematics* **39** (2007) 173–196.

[20] C. De Felice, A note on the factorization conjecture, *Acta Informatica* **50** (2013) 381-402.

[21] Clelia De Felice, Antonio Restivo, Some results on finite maximal codes, *Rairo, Informatique Théorique*, **19** (1985) 383–403

[22] C. De Felice, C. Reutenauer, Solution partielle de la conjecture de factorisation des codes, *C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris* **302** (1986) 169–170.

[23] A. de Luca, A conjecture on continued fractions, *Theoretical Computer Science* **204** (1998) 157–162.

[24] G. Hajós, Sur la factorisation des groupes abéliens, *Časopis Pěst. Mat. Fys.* **74** (1950) 157–162.

[25] Georges Hansel, Baïonettes et cardinaux, *Discrete Math.* **39** (1982) 331–335.

[26] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Oxford University Press, Fifth Edition, Oxford, 1980.

[27] M. Krasner, B. Ranulac, Sur une propriété des polynômes de la division du cercle, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris* **240** (1937) 397–399.

[28] N. H. Lam, Hajós factorizations and completion of codes, *Theoret. Comput. Sc.* **182** (1997) 245–256.

[29] Silvana Mauceri and Antonio Restivo, A family of codes commutatively equivalent to prefix codes, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **12** (1981) 1-4.

[30] D. Perrin, Polynôme d'un code, in: D. Perrin (Ed.), *Théorie des Codes, Actes de la 7ème Ecole de Printemps d'Informatique Théorique*, LITP and ENSTA, Paris (1980) 169–176.

[31] D. Perrin, M. P. Schützenberger, Un problème élémentaire de la théorie de l'information, *"Théorie de l'Information", Colloques Internat. CNRS* **276**, Cachan (1977) 249–260.

[32] D. Perrin, M. P. Schützenberger, A conjecture on sets of differences of integer pair, *J. Comb. Theory B* **30** (1981) 91–93.

[33] Jean-Éric Pin and Imre Simon (1982), A note on the triangle conjecture, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **32** (1982) 106–109.

[34] A. Restivo, On codes having no finite completions, *Discrete Math.* **17** (1977) 309–316.

[35] A. Restivo, S. Salemi, T. Sportelli, Completing codes, *RAIRO Inform. Théor. App.* **23** (1989) 135–147.

[36] C. Reutenauer, Sulla fattorizzazione dei codici, *Ricerche di Mat.* **XXXII** (1983) 115–130.

[37] C. Reutenauer, Non commutative factorization of variable-length codes, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **36** (1985) 167–186.

[38] A. D. Sands, On the factorisation of finite abelian groups, *Acta Math. Acad. Sc. Hungar.* **8** (1957) 65–86.

[39] M. P. Schützenberger, Une théorie algébrique du codage, *Séminaire Dubreil-Pisot* 1955–56, exposé no 15 (1955), 24 pages.

[40] M. P. Schützenberger, *folklore.*

[41] P. Shor, A counterexample to the triangle conjecture, *J. Comb. Theory A* **38** (1985) 110–112.

[42] Sandor Szabó, Completing codes and the Rédei property of groups, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **359** (2006) 449–454.

[43] Sandor Szabó and Arthur D. Sands, *Factoring Groups into Subsets*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics **257**, CRC Press, 2009.

[44] L. Zhang, C. K. Gu, Two classes of factorizing codes – (p, p)-codes and $(4, 4)$-codes, in *"Words, Languages and Combinatorics II"* (M. Ito, H. Jürgensen, eds.), World Scientific (1994) 477–483.

[45] Liang Zhang, K.P. Shum, Finite maximal codes and triangle conjecture, *Discrete Math.* (2016), to appear, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2016.10.008